From: Debra Hill
To: Willey, Seth
Cc: Susan Oetker; Laverna Chavez; Sartorius, Shawn; Susan Jacobsen; Millsap, Susan
Subject: Re: Oil and gas funds
Date: Friday, September 1, 2017 10:57:05 AM

Seth-
Tasks one and two, with direct implications for US populations, should be ok. We need to make the connection that these activities will help our understanding of the species as a whole and conservation implications.

I would ask for your guidance in making the call, as there are no written stipulations to the funding, other than the conversation I had with Rachel London this morning. I can transfer the funding back to RO for your determination if that works.

Thanks, Deb

On Sep 1, 2017, at 10:20 AM, Willey, Seth <seth_willey@fws.gov> wrote:

> Is there any chance these funds can pay for a different portion; e.g., the taxonomic or genetics portion of the study? Proposal attached (can these funds pay for Task 1, 2, or 4; understood 3 is off the table).
>
> Let me know.
>
> Seth
>
> **********
> Seth L. Willey
> Deputy ARD for Ecological Services
> Southwest Region, USFWS
> Seth_Willey@fws.gov
> Work: 505-248-6492
> Cell: 505-697-7600
> **********
>
> ---------- Forwarded message ----------
> From: **Debra Hill** <debra_hill@fws.gov>
> Date: Fri, Sep 1, 2017 at 9:18 AM
> Subject: Oil and gas funds
> To: seth_willey@fws.gov
>
> Seth-
> Headquarters finally got a response regarding surveys in Mexico, and BLM was adamantly opposed to spending the in Mexico. As there are no written stipulations on the funding, there is very little to go from,

but the BLM budget people said this was not going to be allowed.
Sorry,
Deb

Sent from my iPhone

<Mexico Proposal_Aug17 (1) (2).docx>